

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/867,825	05/30/2001	Mitsuo Kawasaki	9281-4124 6114		
7590 03/17/2004			EXAMINER		
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione P.O. Box 10395			LETSCHER, GEORGE J		
Chicago, IL	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2653	17	
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004	*, '	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/867,825		KAWASAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
		George J. Le		2653	·		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	nication appears on the c	over sheet with the	correspondence address	s		
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failun Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming period for reply specified above, the maximum is to reply within the set or extended period for reply period for reply within the set or extended period for reply period for reply within the set or extended period for reply period for reply apply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutor statutory period will apply and will exy will, by statute, cause the application.	however, may a reply be t y minimum of thirty (30) da xpire SIX (6) MONTHS fror tion to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 30 May 2001.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition	ce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the pract	tice under <i>Ex parte Quay</i>	<i>le</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the standard of the above claim(s) <u>21-35</u> is/acclaim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 8-11</u> is/are rejecte Claim(s) <u>6,7 and 12-19</u> is/are object Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consided. ed. ted to.					
Application	on Papers						
9) 🗆 🗆	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.					
10)🛛 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on <u>5/30/01</u> is/ar	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)[objected to by th	e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be h	neld in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	·		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected t						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[:	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationse the attached detailed Office actions	or documents have been represent the documents have been reprised to the priority document on all Bureau (PCT Rule 1)	received. received in Applicat s have been receiv 7.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stag	e		
Attachment							
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🛛 Inform	to Dialisperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> .		Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2653

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 21-35 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected method of forming a soft magnetic film, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yoshizawa et al (US 4,985,089).

Application/Control Number: 09/867,825 Page 3

Art Unit: 2653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshizawa et al (US 4,985,089) in view of Cole et al (US 5,452,164).

Regarding claims 8-11, Yoshizawa et al do not show the soft magnetic film in a thin film head having upper and lower core layers, upper and lower pole layers, a pole portion between the lower and upper core layers including a

,,

Application/Control Number: 09/867,825

Art Unit: 2653

gap layer, a coil layer on the gap layer with an insulating layer covering the coil layer. See Figure 5 of Cole et al.

Cole et al disclose a soft magnetic film in a thin film head being either upper and lower core layers (80, 81) or upper and lower pole layers (PT1b, PT2b). There is a pole portion (including PT1b, PT2b) between the lower and upper core layers including a gap layer (G), and there is a coil layer (108) on the gap layer with an insulating layer (I2) covering the coil layer. See Figure 5 of Cole et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have furnished the soft magnetic thin film layer as shown by Yoshizawa et al with the soft magnetic film in a thin film head having upper and lower core layers, upper and lower pole layers, a pole portion between the lower and upper core layers including a gap layer, a coil layer on the gap layer with an insulating layer covering the coil layer as taught by Cole et al. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have furnished the soft magnetic thin film layer as shown by Yoshizawa et al with the soft magnetic film in a thin film head having upper and lower core layers, upper and lower pole layers, a pole portion between the lower and upper core layers including a gap layer, a coil layer on the gap layer with an insulating layer covering the coil layer as taught by Cole et al in order to have eliminated flux leakage between the pole tips at the air bearing surface; column 3, lines 42-48 of Cole et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/867,825

Art Unit: 2653

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6-7 and 12-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Letscher whose telephone number is (703) 305-7912.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

George Letscher March 8, 2004

George Letscher Primary Examiner

Page 5

AU 2653